1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, No. 2:16-cv-400 8 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** 9 v. 10 JOHN E. HARDY III. 11 Defendant. 12 13 Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("the Commission") alleges: 14 15 SUMMARY OF THE ACTION 16 1. Between June and September 2013, John E. Hardy III ("Hardy" or "Defendant") 17 repeatedly violated the federal securities laws by trading securities based on material nonpublic information that he stole from his employer, Microsoft Corporation. 19 2. In June 2013, Hardy purchased Microsoft put options after learning from highly 20 confidential internal Microsoft documents, including a draft presentation to Microsoft's board of directors, that the company's fiscal-year 2013 financial results would not meet Wall Street 22 analysts' expectations. When Microsoft issued a July 18, 2013 earnings release containing 23 those disappointing financial results, the company's stock price decreased over 11%. Hardy 24 sold the put options shortly after the announcement, realizing ill-gotten gains of approximately 25 \$9,000. 26 3. Shortly thereafter, in August 2013, Hardy purchased Nokia Corporation call options after learning in the course of his work in Microsoft's financial planning and analysis Complaint Securities and Exchange Commission 28 SEC v. Hardy Case No.

1617 JFK Blvd., Suite 520 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 597-3100

#### Case 2:16-cv-00400-JCC Document 1 Filed 03/18/16 Page 2 of 13

- 1 department that Microsoft was planning to acquire Nokia's mobile phone business. After the 2 public announcement of the acquisition on September 2, 2013 caused the price of Nokia 3 American Depositary Shares trading in the United States to rise more than 30%, Hardy sold his
- Nokia call options, resulting in illegal profits of approximately \$175,000.
- 4. In total, Hardy received approximately \$184,000 in illegal profits by trading in 5 6 advance of the two announcements.
- 5. As a senior manager in Microsoft's corporate financial planning and analysis 7 department, Hardy owed a duty to Microsoft and its shareholders to maintain the 9 confidentiality of material nonpublic information that he obtained through his employment at 10 Microsoft. He violated his duty to Microsoft and its shareholders when he traded based on that information. 11
- 6. Hardy knowingly or recklessly engaged in the conduct described in this 13 Complaint. Hardy violated, and unless enjoined, will continue violating, Section 10(b) of the 14 Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

### JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

- 7. The Commission brings this action pursuant to Sections 21(d) and 21A of the 18 Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78u-1] to enjoin such transactions, acts, practices, and 19 courses of business, and to obtain disgorgement, prejudgment interest, civil money penalties, 20 an officer and director bar, and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and 21 appropriate.
- 8. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 21(e) and 27 of 22 23 the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(e) and 78aa].
- 9. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15] 24 25 U.S.C. § 78aa]. Certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business constituting 26 the violations alleged herein occurred within the Western District of Washington.

Complaint 28

27

12

15

16

17

1	10. Assignment to the Seattle Division is appropriate because the events giving rise to	
2	the claim occurred in King County.	
3	THE DEFENDANT	
4	11. Hardy, age 36, resides in Redmond, Washington. Hardy was a senior manager in	
5	the corporate financial planning and analysis ("CFP&A") department at Microsoft from 2011	
6	until September 2015. Before joining Microsoft, Hardy worked in business development and	
7	mergers and acquisitions roles at another Fortune 500 company. Hardy has an MBA from the	
8	University of Virginia and an undergraduate business degree from Virginia Tech.	
9	<u>RELEVANT ENTITIES</u>	
10	12. Microsoft Corporation, based in Redmond, Washington, is one of the world's	
11	largest technology companies. Microsoft's common stock trades on NASDAQ under the	
12	symbol "MSFT." Microsoft's options are listed on the Chicago Board Options Exchange, the	
13	Philadelphia Stock Exchange, the National Securities Exchange, the American Stock	
14	Exchange, and the BATS and Direct Edge exchanges.	
15	13. Nokia Corporation is a telecommunications and information technology company	
16	based in Espoo, Finland. Nokia's common stock trades on the Helsinki Stock Exchange and its	
17	American Depository Shares ("ADSs"), each representing one share of Nokia common stock,	
18	trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "NOK." Options to buy or sell	
19	Nokia ADSs are listed on the Chicago Board Options Exchange, the Philadelphia Stock	
20	Exchange, National Securities Exchange, the American Stock Exchange, and the BATS and	
21	Direct Edge exchanges.	
22	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS	
23	A. Hardy's Role at Microsoft	
24	14. From 2011 to 2015, Hardy was a member of Microsoft's CFP&A department,	
25	which performed a number of critical finance functions, including financial reporting,	
26	forecasting, guidance, and long-term planning. The CFP&A department also had a role in	
27	mergers and acquisitions, including by preparing cash-flow and other analyses designed to	
28	Complaint 3 Securities and Exchange Commiss SEC v. Hardy 1617 JFK Blvd., Suite	

Case No.

### Case 2:16-cv-00400-JCC Document 1 Filed 03/18/16 Page 4 of 13

1	assist Microsoft's senior management in deciding whether to fund a particular transaction.
2	Hardy and others in the CFP&A department were also involved, from time to time, in
3	preparing materials for meetings of Microsoft's board of directors.
4	15. As a senior manager in the CFP&A department, Hardy was only a few reporting
5	levels below Microsoft's CFO, and at times carried out assignments directly for the CFO.
6	16. During the last two months of each quarter, Hardy helped prepare PowerPoint
7	presentations for senior management and Microsoft's board of directors. These presentations
8	included analyses of the company's then-current financial performance and assessments as to
9	whether the company would meet or miss Wall Street analysts' expectations for the quarter.
10	These presentations were highly confidential and distribution was limited to a small number of
11	employees within Microsoft.
12	17. One of Hardy's most important assignments during the summer of 2013 was the
13	preparation of a quarterly revenue analysis for all of Microsoft's products, including the
14	Windows operating system and the Surface tablet. To prepare the analysis, Hardy collected
15	and analyzed information about Microsoft's then-current and prospective sales and revenue.
16	He had access to company-wide, near real-time sales information for all Microsoft products
17	and also spoke regularly to finance managers within the company's operating divisions about
18	their respective division's performance. Hardy's revenue analysis was ultimately used by
19	Microsoft's CFO and other members of the company's senior leadership team in decision-
20	making and in providing updates to the board of directors.
21	
22	B. Hardy Traded Microsoft Put Options Based on Material Non-Public Information about Microsoft's Fourth-Quarter and Full-Year 2013 Results
23	18. Microsoft's fourth quarter and fiscal year both end on June 30 each year, and the
24	company issues its earnings release announcing fourth-quarter and year-end results during late
25	July each year.
26	19. In the weeks before Microsoft announced its fourth-quarter and full-year 2013

Complaint SEC v. Hardy Case No. Securities and Exchange Commission 1617 JFK Blvd., Suite 520 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 597-3100

<sup>27</sup> financial results on July 18, 2013, Hardy learned that Microsoft would be reporting financial

#### Case 2:16-cv-00400-JCC Document 1 Filed 03/18/16 Page 5 of 13

- 1 results that were below analysts' expectations at that time. As described in more detail below,
- 2 Hardy received a steady stream of confidential information in May and June 2013 indicating
- 3 that revenues from the sale of the Windows operating system and the Surface tablet would be
- 4 worse than expected for the fourth quarter and fiscal year ending June 30, 2013.
- 5 On May 13, 2013, Hardy's manager forwarded him an e-mail chain in which a
- 6 divisional CFO informed Microsoft's CFO that revenue generated by sales to original
- 7 equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") was significantly down when compared to the previous
- 8 | fiscal year. At the time, approximately 65% of Windows revenue was generated by sales to
- 9 OEMs.
- 21. During May and early June 2013, Hardy and his CFP&A colleagues worked on a
- 11 PowerPoint presentation for the meeting of Microsoft's board of directors scheduled to take
- 12 place on June 11 and June 12, 2013 (the "June board presentation").
- One of Hardy's close friends and colleagues prepared slides for the June board
- presentation that showed how Microsoft's fourth-quarter 2013 earnings compared with Wall
- 15 Street analysts' consensus estimates. The slides, which Hardy received by e-mail, showed
- operating income, earnings-per-share, and revenue estimates that were lower than the analysts'
- 17 consensus. The presentation was clearly marked on the first page as containing "confidential,
- 18 non-public financial information ... [a]ccess [to which] constitutes 'insider' information under
- 19 certain circumstances, and if it does, it limits your right to buy or sell Microsoft stock or
- 20 derivatives thereof." During May and early July 2013, Hardy continued to have access to and
- 21 work on the June board presentation.
- 22 23. On June 2, 2013, Hardy received financial data that a senior Windows division
- 23 | finance manager had prepared for inclusion in the June board presentation. The data showed
- 24 that Microsoft planned to make significant cuts to the price of certain Surface tablet models,
- 25 the Surface RT model in particular, and corresponding downward adjustments to the revenue
- 26 projected for those models.

27

28 Complaint SEC v. Hardy Case No.

#### Case 2:16-cv-00400-JCC Document 1 Filed 03/18/16 Page 6 of 13

١	24.	Microsoft's board met on June 11 and 12, 2013. During the meeting, Microsoft's
	CFO used th	ne June board presentation that Hardy helped to prepare to update the board on
	Microsoft's	financial performance and its forecast for the fourth quarter of 2013.

- 25. On June 12, 2013, Hardy purchased 30 Microsoft put option contracts with a \$35 strike price and an October 2013 expiration date. On June 14, 2013, Hardy purchased an 6 additional 70 put options with a \$30 strike price and an October 2013 expiration date. At the time, Microsoft's common stock was trading a little above \$35 per share.
- 26. A put option contract gives the owner the right to sell a specified amount of an 9 underlying stock at a specified price, the strike price, before a specified date, the expiration 10 date, after which the put option will expire and become worthless. A put option becomes more valuable as the price of the underlying stock declines relative to the strike price. A person who buys a put option typically believes that the value of the underlying stock will go down.
- 27. On July 18, 2013, Microsoft issued its fourth-quarter and full-year 2013 earnings 14 results. Microsoft's results missed analysts' projections by the widest margin in a decade. The company reported fourth-quarter revenues of \$19.9 billion and earnings per share of \$0.59, 16 well below Wall Street analysts' consensus estimates of \$20.74 billion and \$0.75, respectively.
- 28. The reported earnings per share, in particular, were very close to the estimates 18 Hardy saw in the June board presentation. The company further reported a \$900 million writeoff driven by Surface RT price cuts, also consistent with information included in the June 20 board presentation.
- 29. The announcement caused Microsoft's stock price to fall 11.39%, from \$35.44 at 21 the close of trading on July 18, 2013 to \$31.40 at the close of trading on July 19, 2013. 22
- 30. As a result, Hardy's put options increased in value. On July 25, 2013, Hardy sold 23 24 all the put options he purchased in June, realizing ill-gotten gains of approximately \$9,000.

25

1

2

3

4

8

13

17

26 27

Complaint 28 SEC v. Hardy Case No.

1		
1 2	С.	Hardy Traded Nokia Call Options Based on Material Non-Public Information about Microsoft's Planned Acquisition of Nokia's Mobile Phone Business

- 3 31. On July 17, 2013, Microsoft's board of directors authorized company management to negotiate definitive agreements relating to a potential acquisition by Microsoft of Nokia's mobile phone business, and certain intellectual property assets, for \$7.8 billion, or the equivalent amount denominated in euros. Over the next three days, Microsoft and Nokia senior management participated in telephonic and in-person meetings to discuss the transaction.
  - 32. On July 24, 2013, Microsoft and Nokia entered into a letter agreement outlining the terms of the acquisition. On July 29, 2013, Microsoft began due diligence on the transaction.
  - 33. During or around the last week of July 2013, several of Hardy's CFP&A colleagues, including an employee whom Hardy was mentoring ("Microsoft Employee A"), learned that Microsoft was planning an approximately \$7 billion acquisition, and began working on various aspects of that acquisition.
  - 34. During the summer of 2013, Microsoft Employee A's primary assignment was to work on a Microsoft cash-flow model that the CFP&A department used in forecasting and quarterly planning (the "cash flow model"). The cash-flow model contained a line item for acquisitions that reflected what Microsoft expected to spend on acquisitions during the 2014 fiscal year.
  - 35. During the last week of July 2013 – about a week after Microsoft's board authorized management to pursue the Nokia transaction – Microsoft Employee A noticed that this line item had increased dramatically, reflecting that Microsoft anticipated spending approximately \$7 billion on acquisitions during the 2014 fiscal year. The increased dollar figure in the acquisition line item appeared in the columns for the first and second quarters of fiscal year 2014, meaning that money was being set aside in the next few months for a major transaction.

Complaint SEC v. Hardy Case No.

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

#### Case 2:16-cv-00400-JCC Document 1 Filed 03/18/16 Page 8 of 13

1	36. That same week, Nokia and Microsoft executed the letter agreement outlining the
2	terms of the transaction and Nokia sent Microsoft the first draft of the merger agreement.
3	Around the same time, Microsoft Employee A was assigned the task of "auditing," or double-
4	checking, the cash-flow model to ensure that the new \$7 billion acquisition figure properly
5	reconciled with the rest of the model.

- 37. Based on discussions about the assignment and the newly-increased acquisitions line item in the cash-flow model, Microsoft Employee A understood that Microsoft was planning a cash acquisition in the \$7 billion range. Microsoft Employee A also knew, from his 9 cash-flow work and conversations with more senior CFP&A employees, that the transaction 10 would not be denominated in U.S. dollars, because Microsoft did not maintain on-shore cash reserves sufficient to finance an acquisition of that size, meaning that the target was likely a foreign company. From this information, it was evident that Microsoft was likely to acquire Nokia – a large technology company based in Finland. 13
  - On or before August 2, 2013, Hardy learned that Microsoft was planning a 38. significant transaction with Nokia in the near term.
- 39. During the summer of 2013, Hardy served as Microsoft Employee A's mentor. 17 | The two met regularly, and Microsoft Employee A frequently sought Hardy's advice 18 concerning work-related matters, including Microsoft Employee A's work on the Microsoft 19 cash-flow model. Sometimes he and Hardy met with the cash-flow model in front of them on a 20 computer screen.
- 40. During August 2013, many of the CFP&A employees with whom Hardy 22 Interacted regularly – including two of Hardy's supervisors, one of Hardy's close friends, and a senior manager who occupied the office next to Hardy's and whose telephone conversations 24 Hardy could at times overhear – worked on Nokia-related projects or had knowledge of the planned transaction.

26 27

25

6

14

16

21

Complaint 28 SEC v. Hardy Case No.

#### Case 2:16-cv-00400-JCC Document 1 Filed 03/18/16 Page 9 of 13

1	41. Hardy purchased Nokia call options on the basis of material nonpublic
2	information he learned through his employment at Microsoft. On August 2, 2013, the week
3	after the \$7 billion acquisition figure appeared in the cash-flow model, Hardy began
4	purchasing Nokia call options. Hardy continued buying Nokia call options throughout August
5	making purchases on eight separate occasions. His last purchase was on August 27, 2013, less
6	than a week before the public announcement of the Nokia acquisition. In total, Hardy
7	purchased 2,669 Nokia call options for approximately \$77,500.

- 42. A call option contract gives the owner the right to buy a specified amount of an 8 9 underlying stock at a specified price, the strike price, before a specified date, the expiration 10 date, after which the call option will expire and become worthless. A call option becomes more valuable as the price of the underlying stock increases relative to the strike price. A person who buys a call option typically believes that the value of the underlying stock will go 13 up. A person who buys a call option with a short-term expiration typically believes that the 14 value of the underlying stock will go up in the short term.
- 43. Hardy purchased Nokia call options that expired in October 2013, consistent with 16 the data in the cash-flow model that indicated the transaction would take place in the near term.
- 44. Hardy continued to buy Nokia call options even after a major credit ratings 18 agency downgraded the company's debt to B1 – a ratings level well below investment grade – on August 22, 2013.
- Hardy used a very large percentage of his investment portfolio and overall net 45. 20 21 worth to make the purchases in Nokia securities.
- 46. Before August 2013, Hardy had never purchased Nokia securities. In fact, he had 22 never purchased options, or derivative securities of any kind, before the spring 2013. 23
- 47. On Monday, September 2, 2013, Labor Day in the United States, Microsoft and 25 Nokia announced that Microsoft would acquire substantially all of Nokia's mobile phone 26 business and certain related intellectual property assets for approximately \$7.2 billion. On September 3, the price of Nokia ADSs rose by approximately 30%, closing at \$5.12. By the

28 Complaint SEC v. Hardy Case No.

15

17

24

1	close of trading that day, Hardy's holdings of Nokia call options had increased in value,		
2	resulting in illegal profits of \$175,077.		
3			
4	D. Hardy Breached His Duty To Microsoft And Knew That His Trading Violated Microsoft's Policies		
5	48. When Hardy arrived at Microsoft, he signed an employment contract requiring		
6	him to, among other things, "compl[y] with Microsoft's policies as published in the Microsoft		
7	Employee Handbook." The Employee Handbook contained an insider trading policy		
8	prohibiting him from buying or selling Microsoft securities when he knew "information about		
9	the company that is both material and nonpublic." Fiscal quarter and year-end financial results		
10	were included in the policy as specific examples of material, non-public information upon		
11	which Microsoft employees were prohibited from trading.		
12	49. The insider trading policy also contained similar restrictions on an employee		
13	buying or selling "securities of other companies if you have material nonpublic information		
14	that was received by you as a result of a relationship with a public company with which		
15	we are negotiating an investment or acquisition."		
16	50. Hardy knew that he was prohibited from buying and selling securities of		
17	Microsoft and other companies (such as Nokia) when he was in possession of material, non-		
18	public information.		
19	E. Hardy Violated the Federal Securities Laws		
20	51. In breach of duties he owed to Microsoft and/or its shareholders, Hardy		
21	knowingly and/or recklessly traded Microsoft securities while in possession, and on the basis		
22			
23			
24	52. Hardy also breached his duties to Microsoft by misappropriating material,		
25	nonpublic information about the Microsoft-Nokia transaction and trading Nokia securities for		
26	his own profit on the basis of that information.		
27			
28	Complaint 10 Securities and Exchange Commiss SEC v. Hardy 1617 JFK Blvd., Suite 5		

Case No.

# Case 2:16-cv-00400-JCC Document 1 Filed 03/18/16 Page 11 of 13

1	53. Microsoft's insider trading policy, which Hardy agreed to adhere to, prohibited		
2	trading by employees while in possession of material nonpublic information, explicitly		
3	including fiscal quarter and year-end financial results and information relating to acquisition		
4	transactions.		
5	54.	Hardy knew that the information about Microsoft's year-end and fourth-quarter	
6	2013 performance and the Microsoft-Nokia transaction was nonpublic and material and/or		
7	acted with reckless disregard of the nature of the information.		
8	55.	A reasonable investor would have viewed the information as being important to	
9	her investment decision and or significantly altering the mix of information available to the		
10	public.		
11		FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act	
12		and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder)	
13	56.	The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference each and every	
14	allegation in paragraphs 1 through 55, inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein.		
15	57. By engaging in the conduct described above, Hardy, knowingly or recklessly, in		
16	connection with the purchase or sale of securities, directly or indirectly, by the use of means or		
17	instrumental	ities of interstate commerce, or the mails, or the facilities of a national securities	
18	exchange:		
19	(a)	employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;	
20	(b)	made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts	
21	necessary in	order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which	
22	they were made not misleading; and/or		
23	(c)	engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate	
24	as a fraud or deceit upon any person in connection with the purchase or sale of any security		
25	58 By engaging in the foregoing conduct. Hardy has violated and unless enjoined		
26	will continue	e to violate Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5	
27	[17 C.F.R. §	240.10b-5].	
28	Complaint SEC v. Hardy Case No.	Securities and Exchange Commiss 1617 JFK Blvd., Suite Philadelphia, PA 19	

sion 520 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 597-3100

1	
2	
3	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
4	WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court enter a final
5	judgment:
6	I.
7	Permanently restraining and enjoining Hardy, directly or indirectly, violating Section
8	10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §
9	240.10b-5];
10	II.
11	Ordering Hardy to disgorge the unlawful trading profits derived from the activities set
12	forth in this Complaint, together with prejudgment interest;
13	III.
14	Ordering Hardy to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act
15	[15 U.S.C. § 78u-1];
16	IV.
17	Permanently barring Hardy from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a
18	class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 781] that
19	is required to file reports under Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)]
20	pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(e)] and Section 21(d)(2) of the
21	Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)]; and
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Complaint 12 Securities and Exchange Commis SEC v. Hardy 1617 JFK Blvd., Suite

Case No.

# Case 2:16-cv-00400-JCC Document 1 Filed 03/18/16 Page 13 of 13

1		
2		V.
3	Granting such other an	I further relief as this Court may determine to be just and
4	necessary.	
5	Dated: March 18, 201	5
6		Respectfully submitted,
7		By: s/Rachael Clarke
8		RACHAEL CLARKE
9		PAUL CHRYSSIKOS (Conditionally Admitted
10		Pursuant to Local GR 2(c)(2)) chryssikosp@sec.gov
11		clarkera@sec.gov
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
13		COMMISSION 1617 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 520
14		Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 597-3100
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20	Complaint SEC v. Hardy Case No.	13 Securities and Exchang 1617 JFK B Philadelp